



August 13, 2026- Planning Commission

Subject

Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03 Parking Minimums County-Initiated Land Development Code Text Amendment - PLN2604-0157 - Elizabeth Shulman, Senior Planner - Legislative - County-Wide

Amending the Manatee County Land Development Code; Amending Chapter 10, Transportation Management, Section 1005, Off-Street Parking, Subsection 1005.4, Expansion or Reduction in Number of Required Parking Spaces, Amending Design Standards for Parking Spaces, revising standards in this subsection related to required parking spaces, and amending design standards for parking spaces.

Category

ADVERTISED PUBLIC HEARINGS (Presentation Scheduled)

Briefings

Briefing Provided Upon Request

Contact and/or Presenter Information

Presenter: Elizabeth Shulman, Senior Planner, 941-748-4501 x 3830,
Elizabeth.Shulman@mymanatee.org

Contact: Abigail Bonds, Planning and Zoning Tech III, 941-748-4501 x3927,
Abigail.bonds@mymanatee.org

Action Requested

ALTERNATIVE MOTIONS

APPROVAL

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, comments made at the Public Hearing, and finding the request to be CONSISTENT with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and in accordance with the criteria for Land Development Code Text Amendments in Section 341 of the Land Development Code, I move to RECOMMEND ADOPTION of Manatee County Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03, amending the Land Development Code as detailed herein.

DENIAL

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, comments made at the Public Hearing, and finding the request to be INCONSISTENT with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and not in accordance with the criteria for Land Development Code Text Amendments in Section 341 of the Land Development Code, I move to RECOMMEND DENIAL of Manatee County Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03.

Enabling/Regulating Authority

Manatee County Comprehensive Plan
Manatee County Land Development Code
Florida Statutes 1013.33(5)

Applicable Advisory Board

N/A

Background Discussion

- Request:

The proposed Land Development Code Text (LDCT) Amendment is to revise standards related to the required number of parking spaces. This amendment is intended to modify requirements in the code related to parking minimums and their corresponding parking maximums. The intent of the amendment is to make parking requirements more flexible and allow a market driven approach that permits applicants to accommodate their needs. Considerations include transit availability, elimination of required overparking or required under-parking, use of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation Manual, and proximity of services to dwelling units. Overall, this amendment greatly increases flexibility in relation to parking requirements and allows applicants to respond to market driven demands.

Sections Amended: Chapter 10 – Transportation Management specifically Section 1005 – Off Street Parking, subsection 1005.4 Reduction in Required Parking Spaces

- Conclusion:

The proposed amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

Attorney Review

Other (Requires explanation in field below) Schenk

Sarah Schenk reviewed and responded by email to Matter #FY25/26-0384 on July 13 and 20, 2026.

Instructions to Board Records

N/A

Cost and Funds Source Account Number and Name

N/A

Amount and Frequency of Recurring Costs

N/A



August 13, 2026- Planning Commission

Subject

Updates to Agenda - August 12, 2026

Category

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Briefings

None

Contact and/or Presenter Information

Bobbi Roy, Agenda Division Supervisor, ext 6878

Action Requested

Updates incorporated appropriately

Enabling/Regulating Authority

N/A

Applicable Advisory Board

N/A

Background Discussion

Below are agenda updates (dated August 12, 2026) for the meeting of 8/13/2026 9:00 AM
- August 13, 2026- Planning Commission

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Minutes for Approval - June 11, 2026

Future Agenda Items Public Comment

ADVERTISED PUBLIC HEARINGS - QUASI-JUDICIAL

Presentations Scheduled

3. PDC-25-23(Z)(P) – Baycare Free-Standing Emergency Department – PSP with rezone – Core Suncoast III, LLC (Owner) – Baycare Health System Inc. (Contract Purchaser) – PLN2511-0135 - Alyssa Grove, Planner I – District 5 - Correct a typographical error in Planner name on agenda, revised Positive / Negative Aspects and

Mitigating Measures (Section 6) and Condition of Approval D.1 (Section 8) attached
Revised Staff Report in strikethrough/underline format.

4. PDMU-07-07(Z)(P)(R2) – Crosswind Point F.K.A. Parrish Plantation – Belleair Capital Group Parrish Plantation LLC (Owner) – PLN2406-0119-James J. McDevitt III, MURP, Development Services Team Leader - District 1 - Revised Alternative Motion for approval, Additional Conditions of Approval added - B.5 and F.8. Delete #2 of Remaining Issues of Concern revised Staff Report and Update attached, and additional Public comments attached.

ADVERTISED PUBLIC HEARINGS - LEGISLATIVE

6. Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03 Parking Minimums County-Initiated Land Development Code Text Amendment - PLN2604-0157 - Elizabeth Shulman, Senior Planner - Legislative - County-Wide - Update reviewing Attorney to Sarah Schenk and additional public comments attached.

Attorney Review

Not Reviewed (No apparent legal issues)

Instructions to Board Records

N/A

Cost and Funds Source Account Number and Name

N/A

Amount and Frequency of Recurring Costs

N/A



August 13, 2026- Planning Commission

Subject

Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03 Parking Minimums County-Initiated Land Development Code Text Amendment - PLN2604-0157 - Elizabeth Shulman, Senior Planner - Legislative - County-Wide

Amending the Manatee County Land Development Code; Amending Chapter 10, Transportation Management, Section 1005, Off-Street Parking, Subsection 1005.4, Expansion or Reduction in Number of Required Parking Spaces, Amending Design Standards for Parking Spaces, revising standards in this subsection related to required parking spaces, and amending design standards for parking spaces.

Category

ADVERTISED PUBLIC HEARINGS (Presentation Scheduled)

Briefings

Briefing Provided Upon Request

Contact and/or Presenter Information

Presenter: Elizabeth Shulman, Senior Planner, 941-748-4501 x 3830,
Elizabeth.Shulman@mymanatee.org

Contact: Abigail Bonds, Planning and Zoning Tech III, 941-748-4501 x3927,
Abigail.bonds@mymanatee.org

Action Requested

ALTERNATIVE MOTIONS

APPROVAL

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, comments made at the Public Hearing, and finding the request to be CONSISTENT with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and in accordance with the criteria for Land Development Code Text Amendments in Section 341 of the Land Development Code, I move to RECOMMEND ADOPTION of Manatee County Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03, amending the Land Development Code as detailed herein.

DENIAL

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, comments made at the Public Hearing, and finding the request to be INCONSISTENT with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and not in accordance with the criteria for Land Development Code Text Amendments in Section 341 of the Land Development Code, I move to RECOMMEND DENIAL of Manatee County Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03.

Enabling/Regulating Authority

Manatee County Comprehensive Plan
Manatee County Land Development Code
Florida Statutes 1013.33(5)

Applicable Advisory Board

N/A

Background Discussion

- Request:

The proposed Land Development Code Text (LDCT) Amendment is to revise standards related to the required number of parking spaces. This amendment is intended to modify requirements in the code related to parking minimums and their corresponding parking maximums. The intent of the amendment is to make parking requirements more flexible and allow a market driven approach that permits applicants to accommodate their needs. Considerations include transit availability, elimination of required overparking or required under-parking, use of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation Manual, and proximity of services to dwelling units. Overall, this amendment greatly increases flexibility in relation to parking requirements and allows applicants to respond to market driven demands.

Sections Amended: Chapter 10 – Transportation Management specifically Section 1005 – Off Street Parking, subsection 1005.4 Reduction in Required Parking Spaces

- Conclusion:

The proposed amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

Attorney Review

Other (Requires explanation in field below) Welch

Kate Welch reviewed and responded by email to Matter #FY25/26-0384 on July 13 and 20, 2026.

Instructions to Board Records

N/A

Cost and Funds Source Account Number and Name

N/A

Amount and Frequency of Recurring Costs

N/A

Planning Commission: 8/13/2026

ORDINANCE NO. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03
PARKING MINIMUMS
COUNTY-INITIATED LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE TEXT AMENDMENT
PLN2604-0157

AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE MANATEE COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE; PROVIDING FOR PURPOSE AND INTENT; PROVIDING FINDINGS; AMENDING CHAPTER 10, TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT, SECTION 1005, OFF-STREET PARKING, SUBSECTION 1005.4, EXPANSION OR REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF REQUIRED PARKING SPACES, AMENDING DESIGN STANDARDS FOR PARKING SPACES, REVISING STANDARDS IN THIS SUBSECTION RELATED TO REQUIRED PARKING SPACES, AMENDING DESIGN STANDARDS FOR PARKING SPACES; PROVIDING FOR OTHER AMENDMENTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY FOR INTERNAL CONSISTENCY; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR APPLICABILITY; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Planning Commission:	08/13/2026
BOCC (First Public Hearing):	09/03/2026
BOCC (Second Public Hearing-Adoption):	10/08/2026

ALTERNATIVE MOTIONS

APPROVAL

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, comments made at the Public Hearing, and finding the request to be CONSISTENT with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and in accordance with the criteria for Land Development Code Text Amendments in Section 341 of the Land Development Code, I move to RECOMMEND ADOPTION of Manatee County Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03, amending the Land Development Code as detailed herein.

DENIAL

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, comments made at the Public Hearing, and finding the request to be INCONSISTENT with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and not in accordance with the criteria for Land Development Code Text Amendments in Section 341 of the Land Development Code, I move to RECOMMEND DENIAL of Manatee County Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The proposed Land Development Code Text (LDCT) Amendment is to revise standards in Chapter 10 – Transportation Management specifically Section 1005 – Off Street Parking, Subsection 1005.4 Reduction in Required Parking Spaces. This amendment is intended to modify requirements in the code related to parking minimums and their corresponding parking maximums. The intent of the amendment is to make parking requirements more flexible and allow a market driven approach that permits applicants to accommodate their needs. Considerations include transit availability, elimination of required overparking or required underparking, use of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation Manual, and proximity of services to dwelling units. Overall, this amendment greatly increases flexibility in relation to parking requirements and allows applicants to respond to market driven demands.

In the Manatee County Strategic Plan, Board Priorities 2026, under Section 2. Regulatory and Flexibility and Barrier Removal, elimination of minimum lot sizes and parking ratios are listed as the first priority. During the January 7, 2025, Board of County Commissioners' (BOCC) Special Work Session, it was first mentioned that parking ratios are problematic. On January 6, 2026, during the State of the Chair session, the BOCC elaborated on this concept and it was explained that the intent for the elimination of parking ratios is to enable a market driven approach that allows applicants to come to the table with concepts and provide solutions that are not legacy driven and will fill in gaps in the County.

Positive Considerations

Applicants will be able to accommodate their parking needs and provide the correct amount of parking for commercial businesses or residential housing developments. Both increases and reductions in parking may create economic success for applicants who can then accommodate more customers or avoid unnecessary development costs. Parking reductions may result in less impervious surface in the County, encourage infill development, and encourage greater transit ridership. Reductions in parking for neighborhood serving commercial uses when proximate to dwelling units may increase pedestrian activity.

Negative Considerations

The primary negative consideration is that when on-site parking is insufficient, drivers may park in non-designated areas such as fire lanes, internal drive aisles, loading zones, and landscape buffers. Drivers may block fire hydrants and reduce circulation and turning radii causing hazardous conditions. Fire and medical services that are responding to emergencies may then be faced with delayed access. Secondly, the increases that this amendment allows in parking spaces could increase impervious surface in the County. However, a mitigating factor is that new standards are being put in place that encourage applicants to design parking areas in a manner that provides accessible and high-quality space when they ask for significantly greater parking areas than is currently allowed.

While applicants often appropriately analyze market demands and operational needs, a complete elimination of parking standards could allow applicants to propose uses in locations that do not have the parking to safely accommodate the intensity of the use. The absence of baseline standards could incentivize some applicants to intentionally under-park projects to maximize building area, reduce development costs, or build density beyond what a site can practically support. Conversely, the absence of parking standards could allow for excessive overparking that greatly increases impervious surfaces and leads to inefficient uses of land. For these reasons, this amendment maintains the current baseline parking standards as a reference point but allows for considerable deviation from them at the request of the applicant. Maintaining

current parking ratio standards in the code preserves staff authority to deny requests that do not meet the standards in the Land Development Code (LDC).

A footnote has been added to the 1005.4 Section to provide clarification on Live Local applications that says the following: “Any reduction in the required number of parking spaces requested by an applicant under the Section 125.01055(7) Florida Statutes , (“Live Local Act” or the “Act”) shall be governed by the reduced parking requirements in the Act in lieu of Section 1005.4, LDC.”

Section 1005.4 as it currently stands in the LDC has details about both increasing or decreasing the number of spaces required. Since the title of this section refers specifically to “Reductions in Number of Required Parking Spaces” the first change will be to the title of the Section to more accurately reflect its content. The new title is “Expansion or Reduction in Number of Required Parking Spaces”.

Section A. will continue to refer to “Reduction in Need” and will now contain all the existing ways to achieve reductions for parking:

- Option #1: a parking study that demonstrates reduced demand,
- Option #3: replacement with bicycle spaces,
- Option #4: preservation of trees, and
- Option #5: Watershed Overlay District reductions.

Options 1, 3, 4, and 5 will not substantively change. The newly proposed addition to this section is Option #2, which references the ITE Parking Generation Manual. The 6th Edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers Parking Generation Manual was released in October 2023 and is the most up to date version of the Manual. This Manual contains data from over 10,000 peak-period parking demands contexts on 100s of different land uses. The Manual details the average peak period parking on a number of different details per use, factors such as peak period demand per square footage, per employee, etc. For example, for a Mini-Warehouse in a ‘general urban/suburban area’, the average rate of peak period parking demand is given per storage unit on a weekday (1.36 spaces) or a Saturday (0.94 spaces), per 1,000 square feet on weekday (0.1 spaces) or per employee (1.57 spaces), etc. The number of studies used in each calculation are also provided. Since a formal parking study can be expensive and cumbersome, Option #2 allows the property owner/agent to reference any ITE Parking average, along with a parking narrative, to substantiate their reduction in parking demand. It will then be at the discretion of the Department Director to determine if the reduction in parking is safe and reasonable. This option gives applicants considerable flexibility to avoid using baseline County parking ratios and meet market driven demand.

Option #6, Coastal Planning Area, has been added with the same language as Option #5. Clarification has been added that Options 3,4,5 and 6 may may be applied cumulatively with total reductions capped at 30%.

Section B. will now consider proximity to transit as a reason to approve parking reductions in certain circumstances. Reduction in required parking is being proposed at 20% if there is demonstrated connectivity between the property entrance and the transit stop within a half mile. The proposed development must be either multifamily residential housing or a community serving, neighborhood serving, or regional serving commercial use excluding mini-warehouses, warehouses or any automobile-oriented uses.

An even greater reduction is being proposed for low-income Affordable Housing that has nearby Transit in Table 10-3.1:

<u>Level of Affordability</u>	<u>1 distinct bus route stop within 1 mile</u>	<u>2 distinct bus route stops within 1 mile</u>	<u>3 distinct bus route stops within 1 mile</u>
<u>80% AMI or lower</u>	<u>50% Reduction</u>	<u>75% Reduction*</u>	<u>100% Reduction*</u>

*reference Ordinance regarding the drop-off area.

In order to qualify for these large reductions in required parking, there must be multiple bus stops connecting two distinct transit routes and a high level of affordability provided. Housing located near distinct bus stops allows residents to have a practical alternative to car ownership. Since the costs to construct parking are bundled into the cost of development and therefore bundled into future rent, there is a rational nexus for affordable housing developments having high reductions in required parking. Working together, the level of affordability and the availability of accessible distinct bus routes make these great reductions justifiable. When the reduction is 75% or greater, the code will now require a designated “drop-off” area with accessibility to the building entrance. Dimensions of this drop-off area are the dimensions of a parallel parking spot with a bypass lane. This drop-off area is meant to account for taxi services, ride shares, and other active passenger pick-up and drop-off situations anticipated as a result of reduced on-site parking.

Another potential reduction in parking is proximity to dwelling units for neighborhood serving commercial, added as Section C. This reduction is being capped at 25%. The proposal states for every 10 dwellings units that are within a half mile of walking distance of the proposed neighborhood serving commercial development, a 1% reduction in required parking will be provided. For every 20 dwelling units that are within a mile of the proposed neighborhood serving commercial development with demonstrated bike connectivity, a 1% reduction in required parking will be provided. Additional bike racks are required. Sections C. and B. can work together cumulatively provided they do not go over a 25% reduction in parking per project.

Finally, Section D. speaks about the expansion of parking needs. Currently, property owners/agents must utilize a parking study to go above 10% of the parking minimums. Similarly to Option #2 in the reductions section, the property owner/agent may now request to increase parking based on the latest version of the ITE using any average they choose and providing a parking narrative. If the applicant is proposing an increase in parking using this method, any parking approved higher than 10% of what the code allows for must now follow new standards that are put in place to encourage accessible, integrated and high-quality space. Parking increases will be capped at 30%. These standards are as follows:

1. Parking lot expansion cannot be utilized as a means to impact wetlands.
2. Where feasible, any additional required ADA parking must be connected by dedicated sidewalk to the entrance of the proposed development.
3. In addition to landscaping requirements of LDC Section 701.3.A., groundcover plantings shall be utilized within parking lot islands to fill the remainder of the landscaped island at plant maturity, rather than mulch, rocks, or similar materials. Plantings shall be plant species that are native and/or naturalized to Florida.
4. For every additional parking space, 100 square feet of additional green space must be provided above minimum open space requirements. This additional green space must contain groundcover plantings with plant species that are native and/or naturalized to Florida.
5. For increases over 15%, a shaded outdoor seating area must be provided on the premises.

Altogether, this amendment results in much greater flexibility for proposed developments to accommodate parking demands that better respond to market demands. The Department Director will have the authority under this amendment to deny requests that do not meet the standards in the LDC and ensure that public safety is maintained in the County.

PROPOSED CHANGES:

The proposed text amendments are provided below and shown in ~~strikethrough~~ / underline format in attached Exhibit “A” to proposed Ordinance No. 26-21.

In summary, the proposed changes include the following:

CHAPTER 10, TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT

- Section 1005.4, *Reduction in Number of Required Parking Spaces.*, to edit and revise standards in this subsection related to the required number of parking spaces.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE:

The LDC is enacted pursuant to Section 163.3202 of the Florida Statutes and its purpose is to implement the Comprehensive Plan of the County by establishing regulations, procedures, and standards for review and approval of all development and use of land in the unincorporated portions of the County. It states in Section 101, Authority and Purpose, of the LDC that the “Land Development Code is adopted in order to foster and preserve public health, safety, comfort, and welfare in the unincorporated areas of the County.” Furthermore, the LDC states that “It is the intent of this Code that the development process in Manatee County be efficient, in terms of time and expense, effective, in terms of addressing the natural resource and public facility implications of proposed development, and equitable, in terms of consistency with established regulations and procedures, respect for the rights of property owners, and the consideration of the interests of the citizens of the County.”

Additionally, the proposed LDCT meets LDC Section 341, specifically Section 341.1., Purpose, which states “The text of this Land Development Code may be amended from time to time in accordance with the procedures and standards set forth in this section. The purpose of this section is... only to make adjustments to the text of this Code that are necessary in light of changed conditions or changes in public policy or that are necessary to implement the Comprehensive Plan, to resolve issues of interpretation, or to advance the general welfare of the County.” This LDCT aims to resolve issues of interpretation by providing further clarification and advance the general welfare through adherence with local and state statutes.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

Specifically, the proposed LDCT is consistent with Element 13, Property Rights, of the Comprehensive Plan, Goal 13.1, Objective 13.1.1.and Policy 13.1.1.1 as follows:

GOAL 13.1 Respect judicially acknowledged and constitutionally protected private property rights.

Objective 13.1.1 Private Property Rights. Ensure private property rights are considered in the local decision-making process.

Policy 13.1.1.1 In accordance with the legislative intent expressed in ss. 163.3161(10) and 187.101(3) that governmental entities respect judicially acknowledged and constitutionally protected private property rights, the following shall be considered in all local decision making:

1. The right of a property owner to physically possess and control his or her interests in the property, including easements, leases, or mineral rights.
2. The right of a property owner to use, maintain, develop, and improve his or her property for personal use or for the use of any other person, subject to state law and local ordinances.
3. The right of the property owner to privacy and to exclude others from the property to protect the owner's possessions and property.
4. The right of a property owner to dispose of his or her property through sale or gift.

Implementation Mechanism:

- (a) Review Land Development Regulations for compliance with this Element.

In the opinion of Staff, LDCT-26-03 is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and in accordance with Section 341, *LDC Amendments*, of the Land Development Code.

Attachments

1. Ordinance No. 26-21 with Exhibit "A" showing amendments in ~~striketrough~~ / underline formatting
2. Business Impact Statement
3. Affidavit of Publishing

ORDINANCE NO. 26.21 / LDCT-26-03
PARKING MINIMUMS
COUNTY-INITIATED LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE TEXT AMENDMENT
PLN2604-0157

AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE MANATEE COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE; PROVIDING FOR PURPOSE AND INTENT; PROVIDING FINDINGS; AMENDING CHAPTER 10, TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT, SECTION 1005, OFF-STREET PARKING, SUBSECTION 1005.4, EXPANSION OR REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF REQUIRED PARKING SPACES, REVISING STANDARDS IN THIS SUBSECTION RELATED TO REQUIRED PARKING SPACES, AMENDING DESIGN STANDARDS FOR PARKING SPACES; PROVIDING FOR OTHER AMENDMENTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY FOR INTERNAL CONSISTENCY; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR APPLICABILITY; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County:

Section 1. Purpose and Intent. This Ordinance is enacted to carry out the purpose and intent of, and exercise the authority set out in, the Community Planning Act, Part II of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 125, Florida Statutes, as amended.

Section 2. Findings. The Board of County Commissioners relies upon the following findings in the adoption of this Ordinance:

1. The proposed text amendment includes Chapter 10 – Transportation Management, specifically Section 1005. – Off-Street Parking, Subsection 1005.4 Reduction in number of Required Parking Spaces to edit and revise standards in this subsection related to the required number of parking spaces.
2. The County has initiated Land Development Code Text Amendment No. LDCT-26-03 (the “LDC Text Amendment”) to provide updated references and related text in the Land Development Code.
3. The Manatee County Planning Commission has been duly designated in Section 301, Land Development Code as the Local Planning Agency for the County.
4. Section 163.3174(4)c, Florida Statutes, provides that the Local Planning Agency shall review proposed land development regulations and make recommendations to the governing body as to consistency of the proposed land development regulations with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.
5. Section 301.1.D., Land Development Code, authorizes the Planning Commission to hold public hearings and make recommendations as to proposed amendments to the text of the Land Development Code.
6. The Planning Commission, acting in its capacity as the Local Planning Agency, held a

duly noticed and advertised public hearing on August 13, 2026, as to the proposed LDC Text Amendment and found it to be consistent with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and the standards for review in Section 341, Land Development Code and has recommended their adoption to the Board.

7. The Board of County Commissioners held a duly noticed and advertised public hearing on September 3, 2026, and October 8, 2026, to receive public comments and consider the recommendation of the Planning Commission as to the LDC Text Amendment.
8. The adoption of the LDC Text Amendment will foster and preserve the public health, safety, and welfare and aid in the harmonious, orderly, and secure development of Manatee County and thus will serve a valid public purpose.

Section 3. Adoption of the Land Development Code Text Amendment.

The Land Development Code Text Amendment attached to this Ordinance and incorporated herein as Exhibit “A” are hereby adopted as an Amendment to the Land Development Code of the County. The Land Development Code Text Amendment shall consist of the following Chapter and Section of the Land Development Code as set forth in the following Exhibit “A”, with deleted text indicated by ~~striketrough~~ and added text indicated by underline:

CHAPTER 10, TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT

- Section 1005.4, *Reduction in Number of Required Parking Spaces.*, to edit and revise standards in this subsection related to the required number of parking spaces.

Section 4. Codification. The publisher of the County’s Land Development Code, CivicPlus, is directed to incorporate the amendment in Section 3 of this Ordinance into the Land Development Code.

Section 5. Applicability. The amendment set forth in this Ordinance shall apply to all applications, decisions or controversies pending before the County upon the effective date hereof or filed or initiated thereafter.

Section 6. Severability. If any section, sentence, clause, or other provision of this Ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not be construed as to render invalid or unconstitutional the remaining sections, sentences, clauses, or provisions of this Ordinance.

Section 7. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall become effective as provided by law.

PASSED AND DULY ADOPTED, with a quorum present and voting, by the Board of County
Commissioners of Manatee County, Florida, this 8th day of October 2026.

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF
MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA**

By: _____
Chairperson

ATTEST: ANGELINA COLONNESO
Clerk of the Circuit Court and Comptroller

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

Exhibit “A”

TEXT AMENDMENT

The proposed text amendment is provided and shown in ~~strike~~through or underline formatting.

Chapter 10 – TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT

...

Section 1005. - Off-Street Parking.

...

1005.4. – Expansion or Reduction in Number of Required Parking Spaces.¹

~~Except as provided in Section 1005.7.C, Location of Vehicular Use Areas in required yards, a~~All required off-street parking spaces and associated drive aisles and driveways shall be required on the lot on which it serves and shall not be expanded, encroached upon, or reduced in any manner except as provided in this section. ~~The following reductions in parking space count and dimensions are allowed, provided the reductions do not cumulatively exceed a total of ten (10) percent for a single use.~~Variations in required parking may be approved by the Department Director through the following provisions:

A. **Reduction in Need.** The number of required parking spaces may be reduced ~~by the Department Director~~ in an amount which is justified by a reduction in the size or change in the use ~~to which such is appurtenant~~that the parking spaces were intended to serve. The following options may be used to reduce parking need:

1. **Parking Study.** A parking study may be provided by the property owner/agent to demonstrate that the actual parking demand is substantially lower than those required by LDC 1005.3.A.
2. **ITE Parking Generation Manual.** In lieu of a parking study, the property owner/agent may provide a written narrative explaining how the expected parking demand is lower than the requirements established in this chapter and is based upon the data and analysis contained in the latest version of the ITE Parking Generation Manual average for the applicable use to demonstrate that the parking demand is lower than those required by LDC 1005.3.A.
3. ~~B.~~ **Replacement with Bicycle Spaces.** Designated vehicular parking spaces may be reduced by providing designated parking spaces for bicycles, golf carts, motorbikes and/or motorcycles with signages. These spaces may account for up to 5% of the total number of spaces, but not when the total required parking is less than 25 spaces.
4. ~~C.~~ **Preservation of Trees.** The number of required parking spaces may be reduced by up to 10% where necessary to protect existing trees and/or existing natural plant communities, as defined in Section 700, Tree Protection.

5. **Watershed Overlay Districts.** Development within the WP-E and WP-M watershed overlay districts may reduce the number of required parking spaces by a maximum of 20%, with sufficient justification provided by the applicant, as stated in Section 1005.5.B.
6. **Coastal Planning Area.** Development within the CPA may reduce the number of required parking spaces by a maximum of 20%, with sufficient justification provided by the applicant, as stated in Section 1005.5.B.

Options 3,4,5 and 6 may may be applied cumulatively with total reductions capped at 30%.

B. Proximity to Transit. The number of required parking spaces may be reduced by 20% if there is an active transit stop within a 1/2 mile, measured from the transit stop along the sidewalk or pedestrian-way to any accessible property entrance, and the following conditions are met:

1. Pedestrian connectivity, such as crosswalks and sidewalks, between the proposed development and the transit stop is demonstrated on an aerial map; and
2. The use is community service, neighborhood serving , or regional serving commercial use excluding mini-warehouses, warehouses, or automobile-oriented uses; or
3. The use is multifamily residential housing; or

The following table may be utilized for affordable multifamily residential housing provided that a LURA is recorded with Manatee County and pedestrian connectivity is shown between the bus stop(s) and the property boundary. The reduction only applies to the affordable units. The standard number of ADA spaces are still required.

Table 10-3.1: Affordable Housing Reductions

<u>Level of Affordability</u>	<u>1 bus route stop within 1 mile</u>	<u>2 distinct bus route stops within 1 mile</u>	<u>3 distinct bus route stops within 1 mile</u>
<u>80% AMI or lower</u>	<u>50% Reduction</u>	<u>75% Reduction*</u>	<u>100% Reduction*</u>

*Drop-off area is required with accessibility to building entrance. Dimensions of drop off area are that of a parallel parking space with a bypass lane. Drop-Off Areas are designated for passenger drop-off and pick-up only with accessibility to building's entrance; designed parallel to the curb with safety markers, such as delineator posts, raised pavement, or chevron markings for pedestrian safety and an associated by-pass lane for vehicle separation.

Each bus route must have active bus service.

C. Proximity to Dwelling Units for Neighborhood Serving Commercial. The number of parking spaces required may be reduced by up to 25% in the following circumstances:

1. 1% reduction for every 10 dwelling units within a 1/2 mile of the proposed neighborhood serving commercial development provided there is pedestrian connectivity between the dwelling units and the proposed neighborhood serving commercial development shown on an aerial map, or
2. 1% reduction for every 20 dwelling units within 1 mile of the property, provided there is continuous bicycle connectivity between the dwelling units and the proposed

neighborhood serving commercial development, shown on an aerial map, and for every regular parking space that is reduced a bicycle rack space or golf cart parking space is provided.

Section C may only be applied cumulatively to Section B up to a 25% reduction per commercial project.

D. **Expansion of Parking Needs.** ~~Variations for Known Requirements.~~ The number of required parking spaces may be increased ~~or reduced by the Department Director~~ when actual parking demand is demonstrated to be substantially different than those required in this chapter. The determination shall be based on a parking study provided by the property owner/agent.

In lieu of a parking study, the property owner/agent may provide a written narrative explaining how the expected parking demand is higher than the requirements established in this chapter and is based upon the data and analysis contained in the latest version of the ITE Parking Generation Manual average for the applicable use to demonstrate that the parking demand is higher than those required by LDC Section 1005.3.A.

Any request for an increase in parking spaces that exceeds 10% for a single use, not to exceed 30%, must implement the following standards:

1. Parking lot expansion cannot be utilized as a means to impact wetlands.
2. Where feasible, any additional required ADA parking must be connected by dedicated sidewalk to the entrance of the proposed development.
3. In addition to landscaping requirements of LDC Sec. 701.3.A., groundcover plantings shall be utilized within parking lot islands to fill the remainder of the landscaped island at plant maturity, rather than mulch, rocks, or similar materials.
4. For every additional parking space, 100 square feet of additional green space must be provided above minimum open space requirements. This additional green space must contain groundcover plantings.
5. For increases over 15%, a shaded outdoor seating area must be provided on the premises.

~~E. **Vehicle Use Areas in the Watershed Overlay Districts.** Development within the WP-E and WP-M watershed overlay districts may reduce the number of required parking spaces by a maximum of twenty (20) percent, with sufficient justification provided by the applicant, as stated in Section 1005.5.B.~~

Footnote:

--- (1) --- Any reduction in the required number of parking spaces requested by an applicant under the Section 125.01055(7) Florida Statutes , ("Live Local Act" or the "Act") shall be governed by the reduced parking requirements in the Act in lieu of Section 1005.4, LDC.

Development Services

Comprehensive Planning

9000 Town Center Parkway Lakewood Ranch, FL 34202

Phone number: (941) 748-4501



BUSINESS IMPACT ESTIMATE

In accordance with Section 125.66(3)(a), Florida Statutes, a Business Impact Estimate (BIE) is required to be prepared before enacting certain ordinances and posted on Manatee County's website no later than the date the notice of intent to consider the proposed ordinance is published (which, per Section 125.66, Florida Statutes, is 10 days before the public hearing).

COUNTY-INITIATED LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE TEXT AMENDMENT - PARKING MINIMUMS - ORDINANCE NO. 26.21

AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE MANATEE COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE; PROVIDING FOR PURPOSE AND INTENT; PROVIDING FINDINGS; AMENDING CHAPTER 10, TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT, SECTION 1005, OFF-STREET PARKING, SUBSECTION 1005.4, EXPANSION OR REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF REQUIRED PARKING SPACES, REVISING STANDARDS IN THIS SUBSECTION RELATED TO REQUIRED PARKING SPACES, AMENDING DESIGN STANDARDS FOR PARKING SPACES; PROVIDING FOR OTHER AMENDMENTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY FOR INTERNAL CONSISTENCY; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR APPLICABILITY; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

The following types of ordinances are exempt from the BIE requirement under Section 125.66(3)(c), Florida Statutes. As such, if one or more boxes are checked below, Manatee County believes that a BIE is not required by state law for the proposed ordinance referenced above. Manatee County reserves the right to revise this BIE following an initial posting. Notwithstanding, Manatee County is preparing this BIE to prevent an inadvertent procedural issue from impacting the enactment of this proposed Ordinance. Manatee County reserves the right to revise this BIE following its initial posting and to discontinue providing this information for proposed ordinances believed to be exempt under state law.

- ☐ The proposed ordinance is required for compliance with Federal or State law or regulation.
- ☐ The proposed ordinance relates to the issuance or refinancing of debt.
- ☐ The proposed ordinance relates to the adoption of budgets or budget amendments, including revenue sources necessary to fund the budget.

VACANT
District 1

AMANDA
BALLARD
District 2

TAL
SIDDIQUE
District 3

MIKE
RAHN
District 4

DR. BOB
MCCANN
District 5

JASON
BEARDEN
At Large

GEORGE W.
KRUSE
At Large

- ☐ The proposed ordinance is required to implement a contract or an agreement, including, but not limited to, any Federal, State, local, or private grant, or other financial assistance accepted by the local government.
- ☐ The proposed ordinance is an emergency ordinance.
- ☐ The ordinance relates to procurement.
- ☒ The proposed ordinance is enacted to implement the following:
 - a. Part II of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, relating to growth policy, county and municipal planning, and land development regulation, including zoning, development orders, development agreements and development permits
 - b. Sections 190.005 and 190.046, Florida Statutes, regarding community development districts
 - c. Section 553.73, Florida Statutes, relating to the Florida Building Code; or
 - d. Section 633.202, Florida Statutes, relating to the Florida Fire Prevention Code.

Consistent with the posting requirement set forth in Section 125.66(3)(a), Florida Statutes, the County hereby publishes the following BIE information for this proposed ordinance on its website for public viewing and consideration on this 29th day of July 2026:

1. Summary of Proposed Ordinance, Including a Statement of the Public Purpose to be Served by the Proposed Ordinance, Such as Serving the Public Health, Safety, Morals, and Welfare of the County:

The proposed Land Development Code Text (LDCT) Amendment is to revise standards in Chapter 10 – Transportation Management specifically Section 1005 – Off Street Parking, subsection 1005.4 Reduction in Required Parking Spaces. This amendment is intended to correct issues with requirements in the code related to parking minimums and their corresponding parking maximums. The intent of the amendment is to ensure parking requirements meet market demands of non-residential uses. Considerations include transit availability, required overparking, required under-parking, and proximity of services to dwelling units. Parking reductions will result in numerous benefits including reductions in impervious surface and greater transit ridership. To mitigate potential negative results of having parking increases, new design standards that encourage accessible and high-quality space are added herein. Overall, this amendment increases flexibility in relation to parking requirements.

Estimate of Direct Economic Impact of Proposed Ordinance on Private, For-Profit Businesses in the County, Including the Following (if any):

- a. An estimate of direct compliance costs that businesses may reasonably incur if the ordinance is enacted:

Private for-profit businesses will not incur any compliance costs.

- b. Any new charge or fee on businesses subject to the proposed ordinance or for which businesses will be financially responsible:

There will be no charges or fees associated with this amendment.

- c. An estimate of the County's regulatory costs, including an estimate of revenues from any new charges or fees that will be imposed on businesses to cover such costs:

There will be no regulatory costs, revenues, new charges, or fees associated with this amendment.

2. A Good Faith Estimate of the Number of Businesses Likely to be Impacted by the Ordinance:

The proposed amendment does not impact businesses economically.

3. Additional Information the Board Determines May be Useful:

None.

MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT

STATE OF FLORIDA


COUNTY OF MANATEE


Before the undersigned authority personally appeared **Quantana Acevedo**, who on oath says that she is a **County Employee of Manatee County Government, Manatee County, Florida**; that the attached copy of advertisement, being a public notice in the matters of **Notices of Land Development Code Text Amendments** for the **Manatee County Planning Commission Public Hearing on August 13, 2026**, in Manatee County Florida, was published on the publicly accessible website of Manatee County Government, Manatee County, Florida, of www.mymanatee.org on **July 29, 2026**.

Affiant further says that the website complies with all legal requirements for publication in Chapter 50, Florida Statutes.

By: 
Quantana Acevedo, Planning and Zoning Tech III

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 29th day of July 2026, by Quantana Acevedo, who is personally known to me or who has produced (type of identification) as identification.




(Signature of Notary Public)
Roberta Jo Roy
(Print Name of Notary Public)
Notary Public
(Title)

My Commission Expires: 2/28/2029
Commission Number: HH 639380

NOTICE OF LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE CHANGE

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Manatee County Planning Commission will hold a Public Hearing to consider amendments to certain provisions of the Manatee County Land Development Code (Ordinance 15-17, as amended) and make recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners as to the consistency of the proposed Ordinances with the Comprehensive Plan and as to whether the proposed ordinances should be adopted, adopted with modifications, or denied.


Date: August 13, 2026
Time: 9:00 AM or soon thereafter as same may be heard
Place: Manatee County Government Administrative Center
1st Floor Honorable Patricia M. Glass Chambers
1112 Manatee Avenue West

Ordinance 26-10 / LDCT-26-01 County-Initiated Land Development Code Text Amendment: Exemption from Minimum Lot Sizes within the Urban Service Area - [PLN2601-0028](#)

An Ordinance of The Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County, Florida, amending the Manatee County Land Development Code (as amended, the "Code"); providing for purpose and intent; providing findings; amending Chapter 1. General Provisions Section 107.8, Nonconforming Lots, Chapter 4. Zoning, Section 401.3 Bulk and Dimensional Regulations, Section 401.4, Area, Height, Bulk and Placement Regulations, Table 4-5. Bulk and Dimensional Standards for Agricultural and Single-Family Districts, Table 4-6, Schedule of Bulk and Dimensional Standards for Duplex and Multi-Family Residential Districts, Table 4-7, Schedule of Bulk and Dimensional Standards for Mobile Home Districts, Table 4-8, Schedule of Bulk and Dimensional Standards for Office, Commercial and Mixed-Use Districts, Table 4-9, Schedule of Bulk and Dimensional Standards for Industrial, Extraction and Institutional Districts, adding a New Section: Section 401.6, Minimum Lot Size Exemption within the Urban Service Area hereinafter the "Minimum Lot Size Exemption"; providing for other amendments as may be necessary for internal consistency; providing for codification; providing for applicability; providing for severability; providing for a limited period of time within which applicants may submit applications for the minimum lot size exemption; and providing an effective date.

Ordinance 26-21 / LDCT-26-03 Parking Minimums County-Initiated Land Development Code Text Amendment - [PLN2604-0157](#)

An Ordinance of the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County, Florida, amending the Manatee County Land Development Code; providing for purpose and intent; providing findings; amending Chapter 10, Transportation Management, Section 1005, Off-Street Parking, Subsection 1005.4, Expansion or Reduction in number of Required Parking Spaces, amending Design Standards for Parking Spaces, revising standards in this subsection related to required parking spaces, amending design standards for parking spaces; providing for other amendments as may be necessary for internal consistency; providing for codification; providing for applicability; providing for severability; and providing an effective date.



All interested parties may appear and be heard at the meeting with respect to the proposed Ordinance, subject to proper rules of conduct. Additionally, any written comments filed with the Director of the Development Services Department will be heard and considered by the Planning Commission.

It is important that all parties present their concerns to the Planning Commission in as much detail as possible. The issues identified at the Planning Commission hearing will be the primary basis for the final decision by the Board of County Commissioners. Interested parties may examine the Official Zoning Atlas, Ordinances, the applications, related documents, and may obtain assistance regarding these matters from the Manatee County Development Services Department, 1112 Manatee Avenue West, 4th Floor, Bradenton, Florida, telephone number (941) 748-4501x6878; e-mail to planning.agenda@mymanatee.org.

The hearing may be continued from time to time to a date and time certain or to no date certain and re-advertised. The public may also provide written comments for the Planning Commission to consider.

Rules of procedure for this public hearing are in effect pursuant to Resolution 22-051. A copy of this Resolution is available for review or purchase from the Development Services Department (see address below).

Please send comments to:

Manatee County Development Services Department
Attn: Agenda Coordinator
1112 Manatee Ave. West 4th Floor
Bradenton, FL 34205
941-748-4501 ext. 6878
Planning.agenda@mymanatee.org

Interested parties may appear and be heard at the meeting with respect to the proposed ordinances.

Americans with Disabilities: The Board of County Commissioners does not discriminate upon the basis of any individual's disability. Manatee County is committed to providing full access to facilities, programs, and services to all, as well as supporting the employment of qualified individuals with disabilities in its workforce in accordance with federal and state laws and regulations, including the Americans with Disabilities Acts of 1990 (ADA) and as amended ("ADAA"), and 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Anyone requiring reasonable accommodation for this meeting as provided for in the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), or assistance with accessing any of these documents, should contact Carmine DeMilio, ADA Compliance Coordinator, at (941)792-4501 ext. 6016 or

carmine.demilio@mymanatee.org, as least 3 business days prior to the scheduled meeting. If you are deaf/hard of hearing and require the services of an interpreter, please contact the Florida Relay Service at 711.

According to Section 286.0105, Florida Statutes, if a person decides to appeal any decision made with respect to any matters considered at such meetings or hearings, he/she will need a record of the proceedings, and for such purpose, he/she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record would include any testimony or evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

SAID HEARING MAY BE CONTINUED FROM TIME-TO-TIME PENDING ADJOURNMENTS.

MANATEE COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
Manatee County Development Services Department
Manatee County, Florida
Date Published: July 29, 2026



Public Comment

Manatee County Government

Submitted On:

Aug 7, 2026, 04:47PM EDT

Full Name	First Name: Glen GADFLY Last Name: Gibellina
Email	Glengibellina@gmail.com
Which meeting is this public comment for? (Please select date of meeting)	August 13, 2026
Topic/Agenda Item	Ordinance No. 26-21 / LDCT-26-03 Parking Minimums County-Initiated Land Development Code Text Amendment - PLN2604-0157 - Elizabeth Shulman, Senior Planner - Legislative - County-Wide
Comment	Here are my concerns No Guaranteed "Affordability" Requirement Need a LURA Unless the exemption is explicitly tied to long-term income-restricted or attainable housing caps, developers could simply build smaller, high-density market-rate homes that maximize profit per square foot without delivering relief to low- or moderate-income residents. This Planning Board should be asking these questions Is the exemption tied to affordability? Does a developer get the lot size exemption automatically, or must a percentage of units be designated as attainable/affordable housing? What are the setback and coverage safeguards? While lot size is exempt, will minimum side/rear setbacks, height limits, and maximum lot coverage rules still apply to prevent overcrowding? Is there a sunset clause? How long is the "limited period of time" for applications, and what happens once that window closes? What ever happen to form based codes ?????

From: Matthew Munroe <matt4munroe@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2026 4:37 PM

To: Robert Brown <robert.brown@mymanatee.org>; Lorraine Prosser <lorraine.prosser@mymanatee.org>; Bruce Stamm <bruce.stamm@mymanatee.org>; John DeLesline <john.delesline@mymanatee.org>; Matt Bower <matt.bower@mymanatee.org>; Anthony Sciuillo <anthony.sciuillo@mymanatee.org>; Scott Ziegler <scott.ziegler@mymanatee.org>

Subject: Agenda Items 5 and 6

You don't often get email from matt4munroe@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION:This email originated from an external source.

Be suspicious of Attachments, Links and Request for Login Information and utilize the REPORT MESSAGE Button in Outlook if you feel this is a Phishing email.

Good afternoon,

I am writing to offer my enthusiastic endorsement of Ordinance 26-10/LDCT-26-01 (Exemption From Minimum Lot Sizes Within The Urban Service Area) and Ordinance 26-21/LDCT-26-03 (Parking Minimums).

Eliminating minimum lot sizes will allow for small and wonky parcels in the Urban Service Area to be developed into small and affordable housing projects. It will also allow for large parcels in the urban area to be built up into more productive land uses that contribute to our housing needs and the tax base.

Reducing parking minimums is one of the most common-sense zoning reforms which a local government can adopt. Local businesses are the best experts in deciding how much parking they will actually require, not completely arbitrary government regulations. By reducing these minimums, we can open up hundreds of acres of unused land to development that promotes new local businesses, housing, open space, and a more productive tax base. I would also like to remind the Planning Commission that as the BOCC has noted in the past, the Planning Commission is allowed to make recommendations to the BOCC with an item. I would therefore recommend that the Planning Commission recommend the expansion of this Ordinance to the entire Urban Service Area, rather than requiring a complicated review process to "reduce" parking minimums. There is absolutely no empirical data to suggest that parking minimums should EVER be in place, period. If a property owner doesn't build enough parking, then they'll have to reap the consequences. But when too much parking is built, the infrastructure costs to support it far outweigh the productivity it produces, and the taxpayer reaps the consequences. This Ordinance is good in of itself, but should go further.

FURTHER READING:

How Minimum Lot Size Requirements
Maximize the Housing Crisis

archive.strongtowns.org



The Case for Ending Parking Minimums

matthewmunroe.substack.com

Thank you for your time,

Matthew Munroe

Parking Minimums Land Development Code Text Amendment

LDCT-26-03 / PLN2604-0157 / Ord 26-21




**Elizabeth Shulman
Senior Planner, AICP
Planning Commission
August 13, 2026**

Background

January 6, 2026 State of the Chair the BOCC stated that Parking Ratios are problematic

- The Board prefers a market driven approach that allows applicants to come to the table with solutions that are not legacy driven.**







Background

Parking Ratios: how much parking must be provided relative to the size or use of the property.

Examples of parking Ratios (Spaces/Sq Ft or Unit)

- Single Family home: 2 / Dwelling Unit
- Multi-family: 1.8 / Dwelling Unit or 1 per ½ Unit
- Office: 1 / 400 Sq Ft of Gross Office Area
- Restaurant: 1 / 80 GFA or ½ seat, whichever is greater



City of Bradenton – Form Based Code

4.8 PARKING AND DENSITY CALCULATIONS


4.8.1 Specific to zone T3

- a. *Buildable Density on a Lot shall be determined by the actual parking provided within the Lot as applied to the Functions permitted in Table 4.7 and Table 4.8 and Table 4.9.*

4.8.2 Specific to zones T4-R, T4-O, T5, T6

- a. *Buildable Density on a Lot shall be determined by the sum of the actual parking calculated as that provided (1) within the Lot (2) along the parking lane corresponding to the Lot Frontage, and (3) by purchase or lease from a Civic Parking Reserve within the Pedestrian Shed, if available.*
- b. *The actual parking may be adjusted upward according to the Shared Parking Factor of Table 4.9 to determine the Effective Parking. The Shared Parking Factor is available for any two Functions within any pair of adjacent Blocks.*
- c. *Based on the Effective Parking available, the Density of the projected Function may be determined according to Table 4.8.*

BRADENTON FORM-BASED CODE



City of Bradenton – Form Based Code



TABLE 4.8. PARKING REGULATIONS


TABLE 4.8: Parking Regulations. This table categorizes Building Functions within Transect Zones. Parking requirements are correlated to functional intensity. For Specific Function and Use permitted By Right or by Special Use, see Table 4.7.

	T3	T4-R T4-S	T4-O T5 T6
RESIDENTIAL	1.5 spaces for each dwelling unit	1.0 space for each dwelling unit	1.0 space for each dwelling unit
LODGING	1.0 space for each guest unit	0.5 space for each guest unit	0.5 space for each guest unit
OFFICE	1.0 space for each 350 sq. ft. of floor area	1.0 space for each 500 sq. ft. of floor area	1.0 space for each 500 sq. ft. of floor area
RETAIL	1.0 space for each 350 sq. ft. of floor area	1.0 space for each 500 sq. ft. of floor area	1.0 space for each 500 sq. ft. of floor area

- Adjusted up to 30% within a Transit Oriented Development overlay.
- Incentive for reduced parking for Workforce Housing within ¼ mile of a bus stop.
- No parking required within 800 ft (.15 mile) of the bus transfer facility or a stop that services two or more bus lines.

Background – Existing Flexibility

- **+/- Parking Study**
- **+/- 10% administrative adjustment for Final Site Plans**
 - - 5% for bicycle parking
 - -10% Preservation of trees
 - - 20% Watershed overlay
 - +/- 30% administrative adjustment affordable housing
- **Request specific approval for a public hearing project**
- **Cooperative parking**



Proposal #1 – ITE Average

- Allow increases or decreases based on Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation Manual Average.



Parking Generation Manual



A Community of Transportation Professionals

Example – ITE Average

Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation Manual

Mini-Warehouse (151)

Peak Period Parking Demand vs: Storage Units (100)

On a: Weekday (Monday - Friday)

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Peak Period Parking Demand per Storage Unit (100)

Average Rate	Range of Rates	33rd / 85th Percentile
1.36	1.05 - 2.38	1.18 / 2.35

Peak Period Parking Demand per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	33rd / 85th Percentile
0.10	0.03 - 0.53	0.08 / 0.25

Peak Period Parking Demand per Employee

Average Rate	Range of Rates	33rd / 85th Percentile
1.57	0.67 - 2.00	1.54 / 2.00

Mini-Warehouse (151)

Peak Period Parking Demand vs: Storage Units (100)

On a: Saturday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Peak Period Parking Demand per Storage Unit (100)

Average Rate	Range of Rates	33rd / 85th Percentile
0.94	0.77 - 1.33	*** / ***

Peak Period Parking Demand per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	33rd / 85th Percentile
0.09	0.06 - 0.14	0.08 / 0.14

Peak Period Parking Demand per Employee

Average Rate	Range of Rates	33rd / 85th Percentile
2.67	2.67 - 2.67	*** / ***

Proposal #1 – ITE Average

- Data driven
- Inexpensive
- Easy
- Provides considerable flexibility
- Market driven



Proposal #2 - Reductions


- New: 20% Coastal Planning Area Reduction
- New: Reductions for neighborhood serving commercial with proximity to dwelling units
- 30% Combined potential reduction
 - Existing reductions:
 - Preservation of trees
 - Bicycle parking (added golf carts)
 - Watershed Overlays



Proposal #3– Reductions for Transit

Reduction of 20% if property is within ½ mile of a bus stop for:



- Community, neighborhood serving or regional serving commercial.
- Market rate multifamily housing.



Proposal #3– Reductions for Transit Affordable Housing


As recommended by the Affordable Housing Action Committee
For affordable housing developments within 1 mile of a bus stop:

Level of Affordability	1 bus route stop	2 distinct stops	3 distinct stops
80% AMI or lower	50% Reduction	75% Reduction	100% Reduction



		1	2	3	4
Manatee County	30%	24,000	27,400	30,850	34,250
(North Port-Bradenton- Sarasota MSA)	50%	39,950	45,650	51,350	57,050
	80%	63,950	73,050	82,200	91,300
Median: 109,700	120%	95,880	109,560	123,240	136,920
	140%	111,860	127,820	143,780	159,740

MCAT Bus Routes



Manatee County Fire Marshall Committee

- Reductions in parking cause problems for Fire/Emergency vehicles
 - Drivers park in front of hydrants, block access, impact turning radii, creates a life/safety issue
- Reductions as an incentive for workforce housing do not work because the people living in the apartments are commuters
- Enforcement is difficult, not enough support
- Cannot have violations to NFPA 1 Fire Code Chapter 18



Increases

Limit increases to 30%

- Over 10% increase: May not propose wetland impacts.
- Over 10% increase: Provide additional landscaping / groundcover plantings on parking islands.
- Over 15% increase: Shaded outdoor seating area



Considerations

Outstanding Issues:

- If SB180 does not sunset revisions could be considered burdensome
 - Mitigation measure: A sunset clause could be put into the Ordinance
- Fire/Emergency vehicles may not have access
- If parking is insufficient, drivers may park in nearby businesses or neighborhoods
- Transit services may be cut if property tax bill Amendment 3 passes
- Increases in parking may lead to more impervious surface in the County
 - Mitigating factor – must implement new parking lot standards



Considerations

Positives:

- Greater flexibility
- Market driven
- Reductions in parking may lead to less impervious surface in the County
- May increase transit ridership




Conclusion

- The request is consistent with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and in accordance with the Land Development Code.



Thank you!





Fire turning radius example

