

Case Summaries

Case #1 - Shireen Michelle Rose- Petition # 2025VB316

Facts

Petitioner claimed the Magistrate did not follow the law in arriving at value. The decision did in fact cite the criteria for valuing the property by law. The Petitioner did not submit any properties of its own and therefore really did not state what value is. The property was purchased in May of 2024 for \$655,000 by the Petitioner. The Petitioner used assessments and tax payments to try to prove value. However these items are not criteria to be used in valuing the home.

Legal conclusions of Magistrate

The jump in assessment is due to the Petitioners purchase at \$655,000.00 in May of 2024. The assessment was determined at a number which is approximately \$120,000 lower than the purchase price. For these reasons the Magistrate found that Petitioner did not reach his burden of proof to overcome the Appraisers' value.