

NOVEMBER 21, 2002

The Board of County Commissioners, Manatee County, Florida, met in SPECIAL SESSION in the Administrative Center, 1112 Manatee Avenue West, Bradenton, Florida, Thursday, November 21, 2002, at 1:32 p.m.

Present were Commissioners:

Jonathan Bruce, First Vice-Chairman
Patricia M. Glass, Second Vice-Chairman
Jane W. von Hahmann, Third Vice-Chairman
Gwendolyn Y. Brown (entered during the meeting)
George L. Harris
Joe McClash

Absent was:

Amy Stein, Chairman (ill)

Also present were:

Tedd Williams, Jr., County Attorney
Susan G. Romine, Board Records Supervisor,
representing R. B. Shore, Clerk of Circuit Court

Invocation by Mr. Bruce.

All witnesses and staff giving testimony were duly sworn.

AGENDA

Agenda of November 21, 2002, and agenda update memorandum.

[BC20021121DOC001](#)

COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Carol Clarke, Planning Director, advised that the **election of officers**, as well as the **Community Redevelopment Plan** for the South County Community Redevelopment Area was continued to December 3, 2002.

[BC20021121DOC002](#)

COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA - SOUTH COUNTY

Public hearing (Notices in the *Bradenton Herald* and *Sarasota Herald-Tribune* 11/9/02) was opened to consider

R-02-313 A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT; FINDING THAT THE CONDITIONS IN AN AREA OF UNINCORPORATED MANATEE COUNTY KNOWN AS SOUTH COUNTY MEET THE CRITERIA DESCRIBED IN SECTION 163.340(8), FLORIDA STATUTES, AS AMENDED; FINDING THAT THE AREA IN UNINCORPORATED MANATEE COUNTY KNOWN AS SOUTH COUNTY CONSTITUTES A BLIGHTED AREA; FINDING OF NECESSITY FOR THE REHABILITATION, CONSERVATION, OR REDEVELOPMENT, OR A COMBINATION THEREOF, OF THE AREA IN UNINCORPORATED MANATEE COUNTY KNOWN AS SOUTH COUNTY; FINDING OF NEED FOR A COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY IN MANATEE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Ms. Clarke requested continuance of Resolution R-02-313 to December 3, 2002.

David Quaderer, Cedar Hammock Fire Control District, stated that as the Fire District previously requested an exemption from the 14th Street West Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA), the District would also be requesting exemption from this CRA as well.

Motion was made by Mr. McClash, seconded by Mrs. von Hahmann, and carried 5 to 0, to continue the public hearing to December 3, 2002, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as same may be heard.

[BC20021121DOC003](#)

COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Public hearing (Notices in the *Bradenton Herald* 11/9/02 & 11/11/02 and *Sarasota Herald-Tribune* 11/9/02) was opened to consider

R-02-317 A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT; DECLARING THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY TO BE THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA FOR **SOUTH COUNTY**; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Motion was made by Mr. McClash, seconded by Mrs. von Hahmann, and carried 5 to 0, to continue the public hearing on Resolution R-02-317 to December 3, 2002, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as same may be heard.

[BC20021121DOC004](#)

(Enter Ms. Brown)

(Court Reporter, Carol Cason, present)

DRI 5 – IMC PHOSPHATES COMPANY/FOUR CORNERS MINE

Public hearing (Notice in the *Bradenton Herald* 11/8/02) was held to consider

Z-02-11 – IMC PHOSPHATES COMPANY/FOUR CORNERS MINE NORTHEAST TRACT ADDITIONS

Request: Zoning Ordinance of the County of Manatee, Florida, amending the Official Zoning Atlas of Manatee County (Ordinance 90-01, the Manatee County Land Development Code), relating to zoning within the unincorporated area of Manatee County; providing for the rezoning of six, non-contiguous parcels from **A**, **A/WP-M**, and **A/WP-M/ST** to **EX**, retaining the Watershed Protection and Special Treatment Overlay Districts; and providing an effective date. The proposed additions to the Four Corners Phosphate Mine are located on a total of 3,360 acres in the northeast corner of Manatee County, north of S.R. 62, east and west of C.R. 39 and east of S.R. 37, extending to the Manatee County/Hardee County line. The parcels are located within Sections 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 15, Township 33 South, Range 21 East, and Sections 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 24, Township 33 South, Range 22 East.

Parcel 1 – 492 acres located two miles north of S.R. 62, three miles west of C.R. 39, and northeast of Long Branch of the South Fork of the Little Manatee River;

Parcel 2 – 124 acres located 1.5 miles north of S.R. 62, two miles west of C.R. 39, and 0.5 mile north of Bunker Hill Road;

Parcel 3 – 525 acres located 2.5 miles north of S.R. 62 along both sides of C.R. 39;

Parcel 3B – 127 acres located 2 miles north of S.R. 62 and one mile east of C.R. 39;

Parcel 4 (also known as the Altman Tract) – 2,048 acres located southeast of S.R. 37, extending east to the Hardee County line and south to S.R. 62; and

Parcel 7 – 44 acres located 3.5 miles north of S.R. 62 and 1.5 miles west of C.R. 39.

[BC20021121DOC005](#)

and

RESOLUTION R-02-268 - MASTER MINE AND RECLAMATION PLAN

R-02-268 A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING IMC PHOSPHATES COMPANY'S EXISTING MASTER MINING PLAN FOR THE FOUR CORNERS MINE TO AUTHORIZE MINING FOR SIX, NON-CONTIGUOUS PARCELS KNOWN AS NORTHEAST AND ALTMAN TRACT ADDITIONS (3,360 ACRES) EXCEPT FOR PARCELS 3B AND 7, WHICH ARE APPROVED AS MINE ACCESS CORRIDORS; AMEND THE TERMS OF THE MASTER MINING PLAN TO ALLOW THE MINING OF PHOSPHATE ORE ON EIGHT, NON-CONTIGUOUS PARCELS (763 ACRES) KNOWN AS THE NORTHEAST TRACT ECONOMIC REVISION AREAS; TO ESTABLISH NEW OR MODIFIED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR MINING WITHIN THE NORTHEAST, JAMESON, AND ALTMAN TRACTS TO INSURE THAT THE MASTER MINING PLAN WILL BE CONSISTENT WITH ALL CHANGES PROPOSED BY THE APPLICANT AND THE DRI DEVELOPMENT ORDER FOR THIS PROJECT; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

[BC20021121DOC006](#)

and

ORDINANCE 02-58 (ADVERTISED AS 02-49) – IMC PHOSPHATES COMPANY/FOUR CORNERS MINE SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION NORTHEAST TRACT ADDITIONS

Request: Substantial Deviation to the Four Corners Mine Development of Regional Impact to:

1. Amend Section 7 – Legal description for the addition of six, non-contiguous parcels, 3,360 acres, known as the Northeast and Altman Tract additions to this DRI;
2. Allow the mining of phosphate ore on the 3,360-acre addition to the mine, except for Parcels 3B and 7, which are mine access corridors;
3. Amend the terms of the Development Order (D.O.) to allow the mining of phosphate ore on eight non-contiguous parcels, 763 acres, known as the Northeast Tract Economic Revision areas, which were previously areas of "no-mining disturbance";
4. Amend Section 7 – Legal description and terms of the D.O. to add two Mine Access Corridors (171 acres), which will be disturbed by mining activity;
5. Amend the D.O. to allow the sale of excess overburden and sand tailings for transport off-site;
6. Amend the D.O. to increase the number of employees;
7. Amend the D.O. to increase the rate of mining and number of acres to be mined or disturbed within the Jameson, Northeast, and Altman Tracts;
8. Amend the D.O. to extend the life of the mine (mining activity and reclamation) by 11 years, from 2018 to 2033;
9. Amend the D.O. to allow the potential use of conveyor systems for transportation of products and byproducts;
10. Amend Sections 4 – Development Components, 5 – Definitions, and 6 – Development Conditions, to reflect the 3,360 acres to be added to this DRI, the 763 acres of Economic Revision Areas, and the 171 acres of Mine Access Corridors, to establish new or modified conditions of approval for mining within the Northeast, Jameson, and Altman Tracts, and to ensure the D.O. will be internally consistent with all changes proposed by the applicant;
11. Delete existing D.O. Conditions F.5. & K(5)1 – MA-08, pertaining to a rookery;
12. Delete existing D.O. Condition K-10 pertaining to conditions associated with mining for the 170-acre addition;
13. Amend the D.O. to reflect changes in agency names, the name of the developer, and to denote stipulations that have been complied with or requirements that have been completed; and

14. Amend Map H to reflect the above changes.

The proposed additions to the Four Corners Phosphate Mine are located north of S.R. 62, along both sides of C.R. 39, and east of S.R. 37, extending eastward to the Hardee County line. Total Substantial Deviation Request is 4,123 acres. The existing Four Corners Phosphate Mine is located north of S.R. 62 and along both sides of S.R. 37 and C.R. 39, extending to the north into Hillsborough County. [BC20021121DOC007](#)

Robert Pederson, Planning Department, displayed a Future Land Use Map and advised that **Parcels 3B and 7**, mine access corridors, were withdrawn from the rezone request, the Development Order, and the Master Mining Plan. He also advised that some of the information contained in the advertisement for the Development Order as originally proposed had been modified as the project has proceeded and the Development Order stipulations have been refined. He noted that **Parcel 4** (Altman Tract 2,048 acres) has been deferred as a separate public hearing (Ordinance 03-21) in January 2003. He distributed a revised Ordinance 02-49, deleting all references to Parcel 4.

Zoning Ordinance Z-02-11

Lisa Barrett, Planning Department, displayed Zoning Maps (2) to outline the request for Parcels 1, 2, and 3, and a Future Land Use Map. She gave a slide presentation highlighting the positive and negative aspects and mitigating factors of the application.

(Depart Mr. McClash)

Mr. Pederson reviewed a General Location Map, a Mine Plan Map, and an Amendment Areas Map, and stated the 1,141 acres reflect deletion of Parcels 3B and 7, and Parcel 4. He also showed an aerial map highlighting eight, non-contiguous parcels (763 acres) of Economic Revision Areas that were not originally approved for mining, but are now economically feasible to mine.

(Enter Mr. McClash)

Mr. Pederson stated that the application meets Comprehensive Plan requirements of 2:1 herbaceous and 4:1 forested wetland mitigation ratios, as reflected in Exhibits E and K. While displaying a Clay Settling Map, Mr. Pederson located two new clay-settling areas (F-9A and F-9B). He noted that IMC has made an application to vacate the Bunker Hill Road for mining. In the interim, IMC proposes to construct a temporary road, and following mining activity, IMC would construct a new, paved Bunker Hill Road to meet County standards.

(Depart Ms. Brown)

Mr. Pederson gave an overview of the Scrub-Jay Habitat Management Plan and post-mining activities. He stated 700 acres of Scrub-Jay habitat will be encumbered by a conservation easement and managed as habitat, including 365 acres, to be selected by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service once reclamation is complete. He noted the new conditions set forth under Section 6 of Ordinance 02-49 (Page 25), specifically for the Phase II Northeast Tract.

Discussion followed regarding the vacation of Bunker Hill Road.

(Enter Ms. Brown)

Resolution R-02-268 – Master Mine and Reclamation Plan

While giving an overview of the six conditions, required under Chapter 2-20, Section 23 of the Mining Code, that must be addressed prior to the approval of a Master Mine Plan, Rob Brown, Environmental Management Department, displayed: (1) a General Location Map showing the Northeast Tract with Parcels 1, 2, and 3; (2) Post Reclamation Vegetation Cover Maps; (3) Mine Plan and Access Corridor Maps; (4) Pre-Mining Floodplain Locations Map; (5) Wetlands Map; and (6) an Aerial Map showing three large lake systems proposed for the reclamation process.

Mr. Pederson displayed a map showing the area residential properties with homestead exemption.

Discussion: Size of the homestead lots; proximity of the residents to the areas to be mined; availability of water and the affect to the water table; Best Management Practices for reducing water quality impacts shall be implemented; etc.

Patricia Petruff, attorney for IMC Phosphate Inc., requested additional time for her presentation (as outlined in 11/15/02 letter). Ms. Petruff submitted a Notebook with respect to the Four Corners Mine application. She noted the documents in Tab 2 (DRI application, etc.) are part of the official record, and that the vacation of Bunker Hill Road would be considered at a future date.

Deedra Allen, IMC Phosphates, Inc., showed a slide presentation while outlining the mining application. She stated a temporary Bunker Hill Road will not be built; mining will occur west and south of the road; a new alignment will be constructed; and the original alignment will be removed. As this is a mining facility only, Ms. Allen advised there would be no gypsum stacks on site; no mining is proposed in the 25-year floodplain, or in the Manatee River Watershed; and there is no request for any increase in water use.

(Depart Mr. McClash during presentation)

The following consultants for IMC continued with the slide presentation: **Darren Stowe**, Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc., discussed land use issues; **John Garlanger**, Ardaman & Associates, Inc., spoke on hydrology; **Doug Durbin**, Biological Research Associates, addressed the relationship between phosphate mining and surface water quality.

Robert Kinsey, IMC Phosphates, Inc., gave an overview of IMC operations and the importance of the application. He stated IMC has held monthly meetings with residents of Duette and a citizen advisory committee to discuss IMC's phosphate mining plans.

(Enter Mr. McClash)

Ms. Petruff requested additional wording be added to **Condition M.(3)**, second paragraph (Page 27), as follows:

"However, no agricultural activities are permitted...as shown on Maps A-3A, A-3B, A-3C, F-1A, F-1B, F-1C, F-2A, F-2B, F-2C, H-1A, H-1B, H-3A, and H-3B, **except for the 29-acre area shown in Section 12 of Parcel 3** and as further stipulated..."

Discussion: Change in the transport system to a conveyor system as an alternative; any conveyor system must be reviewed by staff prior to implementing; obtaining waivers from residents for the 100-foot setback between residential and mining; setback requirements set forth in the Mining Ordinance (81-22); setbacks cannot be changed unless the adjacent property owner concurs and signs an affidavit; no drag lines or excavation will occur that close to any property boundary; etc.

Recess/Reconvene. All members present except Mrs. Stein.

Dennis Albritton, on behalf of IMC employees, urged the Board to approve the requests. **William Conley** spoke in favor and stated that the economic benefits of IMC should be considered.

John Korvick, resident on Taylor Grade Road, stated concern of the proximity of mining activity to his property upon which he operates as a fish hatchery. **Allen Peel** stated numerous concerns including groundwater contamination, as the mining activity abuts his property. **Chris Gentry** expressed concern of the impacts to water quality, and stated that he was not advised of mining activity when the property was purchased in June 2002.

Ed Dees, Gulfcoast Building and Construction Trades Council, spoke in favor of the request.

David Levin, attorney representing Tom McGarr (Bunker Hill resident), submitted an aerial map and a topographic map showing the McGarr property (26 acres) south of Parcel 2. He stated the McGarr property would be directly impacted by any mining activity on Parcel 2 and the economic revision area. He stated Section 2-20-33 of the Mining Code outlines setbacks for excavation activity and that upon combining areas protected by the setback, almost all of the economic revision area and at least two-thirds of Parcel 2 are encumbered by the setbacks. Mr. Levin stated the mining expansion would adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the neighbors. Mr. Levin stated his opposition applies to the three IMC proposals.

Tom McGarr stated he canceled plans to build a home due to the proximity of a dragline. He stated he was not advised of the adjacent mining activity. He submitted photographs showing his property was trespassed illegally and trees were removed.

Chris McGarr stated that in 1997, the Environmental Protection Agency ranked IMC as a polluter in the United States. He submitted a newspaper article published in the Sun Herald (12/99) detailing the pollution of Horse Creek by IMC. He stated the noise generated by the draglines is unbearable.

Kristian Spagnolo spoke in opposition to the request.

Elizabeth Gentry stated that a dragline can be seen from her front window, and that noise and lights from the excavation equipment are unbearable. She submitted a photograph of a panther paw print taken in the area. She questioned how residential land values would be affected by this application.

Glen Compton, ManaSota-88, requested the Board require IMC to substantiate claims that hardwood wetlands can be successfully mitigated. He also pointed out there are substantial flaws in the Scrub-Jay Habitat Management Plan, and requested a stipulation be added that IMC not be given mitigation credit for the Scrub-Jays that nest off site.

Arlene Flisik, Audubon Society, stated concern that provisions are made for the timing of relocating the Scrub-Jays; the proximity of the current location versus future relocation site; and the techniques of moving the Scrub-Jays.

Discussion: Conveyor system technology will be handled through the operating permit for the facility; fugitive emission evaluations will be performed in conjunction with the operating permit; setbacks are addressed in Condition S.(9); ensure tenants are protected; location of the closest, active mining operations to residences; proximity to the stream on Revell Road; etc.

(Mrs. Glass absent for portion of discussion)

Mr. McClash suggested the words "residing tenant" be added onto **Condition S.(9)**.

Mr. Brown displayed a 2002 aerial map and a road map showing the area along Long Branch and Revell Road. Staff intend to improve the operating permit by considering monitoring strategies for the mine and instructing IMC to set up monitoring stations throughout the boundary of the mine, along with examining the water quality and water table levels.

Discussion: There are no limitations on the timing of the mining operations; IMC must comply with adverse impact standards; Master Mining Plan contains a mining sequencing element; provision to prevent heavy equipment and vehicles from traveling through residential neighborhoods; wetland setbacks need to be included in the development order; etc.

Jeffrey Steinsnyder, Deputy Chief Assistant County Attorney, advised that the economics and property values are not relevant for consideration in land use matters.

(Depart Mr. McClash)

While displaying a Scrub-Jay location map, **Dr. Reed Bowman**, Archbold Biological Station, addressed concerns raised regarding the Scrub-Jay Management Plan along with off-site mitigation and trans-location options associated with the operating permit.

(Enter Mr. McClash)

J. Steve Godley, Biological Research Associates, addressed the listed species on site; spoke on the likelihood of panthers in the vicinity; and the regulations that may apply if one is present. He stated that according to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, there have been no confirmed sightings of the Florida Panther for many years or near the boundaries of the IMC DRI site.

Gary Uebelhoer, Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc. (ECT), stated ECT determined that the light intensity of the dragline operating near Bunker Hill Road falls below the nuisance standard contained in the Land Development Code.

(Depart Ms. Brown)

Ms. Petruff stated Mr. McGarr purchased property next to the economic revision areas area zoned Extraction, and that Parcels 1, 2, and 3 have been owned by a mining company since 1977. With respect to noise, she stated IMC's current operating permit (Resolution R-99-277) contains a condition which requires IMC to comply with the County's Noise Ordinance (99-20).

Ms. Petruff stated that mining has occurred in this area of the county for many years and that property values have increased. She submitted property record cards of eight adjacent owners, showing that property values continue to increase in this area.

With regard to IMC's alleged trespassing onto the McGarr property, Ms. Petruff explained the county maintains approximately ½ mile of Davis Grade Road, which ends at the fence on IMC property. She stated she does not believe IMC trespassed onto the McGarr property.

(Enter Ms. Brown)

Ms. Petruff addressed the issue raised by Mr. Levin as to setbacks required by the mining ordinance and how they might impact the McGarr property. She requested that language in **Condition S.(9)** be changed to the language of the last paragraph of Condition J.(9) stating, "However, nothing in this requirement shall prevent the reduction of setbacks pursuant to Section 2-20-33(b)(4)...".

Mr. McClash suggested language that the exact setbacks must be shown at the public hearing for the permit. Staff was also instructed to include language regarding disclosure to a tenant.

Mr. Pederson recommended that the language added to **Condition M.(3)** be taken from the third line of the definition of "Conservation Areas" in Ordinance 02-49 (Page 13), "...except for the 29± acre area designated as "non-disturbance" and shown in Section 12, Township 33 South, Range 21 East in Section 12 in Parcel 3,"... There were no objections.

Doug Durbin, Biological Research Associates, responded to the statement made by Mr. Compton regarding IMC's substantiated success rates of hardwood wetland mitigation.

Discussion: Concern for residents impacted by the mining operations; a perimeter ditch and berm system provides a measure of visual buffer; rows of existing, tall pine trees are along S.R. 62; the applicant must construct an earthen berm 6-8 feet in height above the roadway elevation along C.R. 39 and C.R. 37; IMC's willingness to work with Bunker Hill Road residents on noise mitigation; IMC is in compliance with the mining ordinance regarding noise and lights; IMC has an environmental hotline telephone number to relay community concerns; etc.

Recess/Reconvene. All members present except Mrs. Stein.

Mr. Steinsnyder presented modifications to **Condition S.(9)c.** of Ordinance 02-49 as follows:

c. 500 feet of IMC-Phosphate Company Property line, ~~unless waived by affected adjacent property owners.~~

New d. **1,000 feet of any wetlands or groves on adjoining property not owned by the applicant.**

Insert **New Paragraph** before the last paragraph:

“Nothing in this requirement shall prevent the reduction of setbacks pursuant to Section 2-20-33(b) of the Mining Code. All such setbacks shall be shown in the operating permit and shall be specifically approved by the Board of County Commissioners.”

Mr. Steinsnyder stated that **Condition S.(9)A.** should not be amended to add the word “tenant”. Ms. Petruff did not object to having the wording inserted into the Operating Permit.

Mr. Steinsnyder stated the modification to **Condition M.(3)** was as previously read by Mr. Pederson. He advised that **Condition J.(9)** applies to the existing northeast tract and it is a restatement of the conditions in the existing Development Order.

Discussion: The increase in right-of-way and paving on Bunker Hill Road; Bunker Hill Road is on the county Thoroughfare Plan; IMC will not be impacting that portion of Bunker Hill Road that is not on IMC property; IMC willing to work on a participation program with the county, whereby the county would pay its share; Transportation Department to begin dialogue with IMC on this issue; another public hearing on the vacation of Bunker Hill Road some point in the future; etc.

Motion – Zoning Ordinance Z-02-11

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, comments made at the public hearing, and finding the request to be consistent with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and the Manatee County Land Development Code, Ms. Brown moved to ADOPT Manatee County Zoning **Ordinance Z-02-11**, excluding Parcels 3B and 7, which were withdrawn and continue the public hearing for Parcel 4, Z-03-01 (and Ordinance 03-21), its new designation, to January 28, 2003, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as same may be heard. Motion was seconded by Mr. McClash and carried 6 to 0.

Motion – Ordinance 02-49

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, comments made at the public hearing, and finding the request to be consistent with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and the Manatee County Land Development Code, Chapter 380, *Florida Statutes*, Rule 9J-2.025, *Florida Administrative Code*, subject to the conditions established in the development order, Mrs. Glass moved to APPROVE the Substantial Deviation to DRI 5 for the Four Corners Mine Northeast Tract Addition, excluding Parcels 3B and 7, which were withdrawn, and continue the public hearing on Parcel 4, which will be known as Ordinance 03-21, to January 28, 2003, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as same may be heard, and ADOPT **Ordinance 02-49 (nka 02-58)** with the modifications to Condition M.(3) and S.(9) as recommended by the Planning Commission. Motion was seconded by Mr. McClash and carried 6 to 0.

Motion – Resolution R-02-268 – Master Mining Plan

Based upon the staff report, evidence presented, and comments made at the public hearing, Mr. McClash moved to ADOPT Resolution **R-02-268** amending the Master Mining Plan for IMC Phosphates Company/Four Corners Mine in Manatee County. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Glass and carried 6 to 0.

Mr. Kinsey stated IMC’s environmental hotline of 1-800-282-9024 is set up for complaints.

MEETING ADJOURNED

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Adj: 5:56 p.m.
/rll

Minutes Approved: January 7, 2003